

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,090	ANGEVINE, ELIZABETH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sue A. Weaver	3727	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sue A. Weaver.

(2) Elizabeth C. Richter.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2005
**Time:** 12
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claim 2*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney agreed to have the expression "such that..." on the last three lines of claim 2 deleted by Examiner's amendment to avoid a double inclusion of the construction claimed in claim 1 and to place the claims in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)